

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,119	10/09/2003	Yoshinori Yoneda	4710-0101P	8073
2292	7590 01/07/2005	EXAMINER EXAMINER		INER
	EWART KOLASCH &	MOORE, MARGARET G		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	rch, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/681,119	YONEDA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Margaret G. Moore	1712			
Peri d fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1 to 4 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1 to 3 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers		•			
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Pri rity u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	c(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/681,119 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend upon another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 4 has not been further treated on the merits.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 to 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishikawa et al. '986.

Ishikawa et al. teach polyimidesiloxane compositions. See Ref. Ex. No. 8, which reacts the diamine MBHA (which is defined on column 8 and forms units of formula (3)) with a-BPDA (which is defined on column 8 and forms units of formula (1)) and with PSI (which is defined on column 8 and line 9 of column 10 and will form units of formula (5)). This polymer is soluble in organic solvents (column 1, line 59). This anticipates claim 1. With regard to the phrase "colorless and transparent", these properties will be inherently associated with the polymer. Although Ishikawa is silent as to these properties, since the polymer in Ishikawa et al. is the same as that claimed, it too will inherently possess these properties.

The amounts of each diamine used in this example is within the range of claim 2.

With regard to claim 3, Ishikawa et al. are also silent as to this property. Again, since the polymer in Ishikawa is structurally the same as that claimed, any property that is inherently associated with the polymer claimed will also be inherent in the prior art

Application/Control Number: 10/681,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

polymer. It is well settled that the discovery of a new property does not overcome anticipation when the claimed composition is known.

Though claim 4 is not under consideration, the examiner notes that column 7, line 56, teaches this limitation.

- 5. Ishikawa et al. '738 is cited as being of general interest. This reference also teaches diamines that will result in units of formula (3) but does not specifically prepare a polyimide using this diamine.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Margaret G. Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

mgm 1/4/05